Invariance of the Han–Kobayashi region with respect to temporally-correlated Gaussian inputs

Chandra Nair, Fellow, IEEE, David Ng, Member, IEEE

Abstract—We establish that the multi-letter extension of the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with temporally correlated vector Gaussian inputs matches the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with scalar Gaussian inputs for the Gaussian interference channel.

I. INTRODUCTION

Determining a computable characterization of the capacity region of the Gaussian interference channel is a central open question in network information theory. In particular, it is not known whether the Han–Kobayashi region [1] with Gaussian inputs yields the capacity region or not. Recently, it was shown [2] that multi-letter extensions of the Han-Kobayashi region for some discrete memoryless interference channels strictly improves on the Han–Kobayashi achievable region. Motivated by this result, it is natural to ask the same question for the Gaussian interference channel: do the multi-letter extensions of the Han–Kobayashi region with temporally correlated Gaussian inputs improve on the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with Gaussian inputs. In this note, we answer this question in the negative.

A. Preliminaries

A Gaussian interference channel is defined by

$$Y_1 = X_1 + bX_2 + Z_1$$

$$Y_2 = X_2 + aX_1 + Z_2$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ are independent unitpower Gaussians. We assume the inputs X_1 and X_2 satisfy power constraints P_1 and P_2 , respectively. This channel setting has been actively studied in the literature since mid 70s, so a complete literature survey is beyond the scope of this paper. An interested reader can refer to [3, Chapter 6.4] for a detailed introduction to Gaussian interference channels and the relevant literature. In the next paragraph we summarize some known results.

The capacity region has been established for the case $|a|, |b| \ge 1$ [4]. The capacity region has two noted Paretooptimal points, called "corner" points, of the form: (C_1, R_2^*) and (R_1^*, C_2) where $C_1 = \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + P_1)$ and $C_2 = \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + P_2)$ denote the interference-free point-to-point capacities to the two receivers. The above corner points have been determined for all ranges of parameters, see [4]–[6]. Additionally, the Pareto-optimal point that maximizes the rate sum $R_1 + R_2$ under the condition: $|a|(1 + b^2P_2) + |b|(1 + a^2P_1) \leq 1$ has been established independently in [7]–[9]. The result in [10] establishes that the Hausdorff distance (under L^1 norm) between the capacity region and the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with Gaussian inputs is at most 1, for all ranges of parameters.

Theorem 1 (Han–Kobayashi achievable region). A nonnegative rate pair (R_1, R_2) is achievable for a memoryless interference channel if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &\leq I(X_1; Y_1 | U_2 Q) \\ R_2 &\leq I(X_2; Y_2 | U_1 Q) \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U_2 X_1; Y_1 | Q) + I(X_2; Y_2 | U_2 U_1 Q) \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U_1 X_2; Y_2 | Q) + I(X_1; Y_1 | U_2 U_1 Q) \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U_2 X_1; Y_1 | U_1 Q) + I(U_1 X_2; Y_2 | U_2 Q) \\ 2R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U_2 X_1; Y_1 | Q) + I(X_1; Y_1 | U_1 U_2 Q) \\ &+ I(U_1 X_2; Y_2 | U_2 Q) \\ R_1 + 2R_2 &\leq I(U_1 X_2; Y_2 | Q) + I(X_2; Y_2 | U_1 U_2 Q) \\ &+ I(U_2 X_1; Y_1 | U_1 Q) \end{aligned}$$

for some $p(q)p(u_1, x_1|q)p(u_2, x_2|q)$.

Remark 1. The region presented above, Theorem 6.4 in [3], is an equivalent characterization of the Han–Kobayashi achievable region obtained in [11]. For the Gaussian interference channel with power constraints the input distributions are required to satisfy $E(X_1^2) \leq P_1$ and $E(X_2^2) \leq P_2$.

Definition 1. The Han-Kobayashi achievable region with Gaussian inputs refers to the evaluation of the region in Theorem 1, where for each Q = q, $X_{1q} = U_1^q + V_1^q$ and $X_{2q} = U_2^q + V_2^q$, where $U_1^q, V_1^q, U_2^q, V_2^q$ are mutually independent Gaussian random variables, and the constraints $E_Q(X_{1Q}^2) \leq P_1$ and $E_Q(X_{2Q}^2) \leq P_2$ hold. We denote this region as \mathcal{R}^{GS} , where GS represents Gaussian signaling.

Definition 2. A k-letter extension of the Han–Kobayashi achievable region refers to the (normalized) region in Theorem 1 evaluated for the interference channel obtained by taking k independent copies of the original interference channel.

Remark 2. By grouping channel uses into blocks of k timeslots one observes that the k-letter extension of the Han– Kobayashi achievable region also yields an achievable region for the original interference channel. Further, it is known (via Fano's inequality) that the (set-theoretic) limit of the k-letter extension of the Han–Kobayashi achievable region goes to the true capacity region. The k-letter extension of the Han–

Copyright (c) 2017 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Kobayashi achievable region with (vector) Gaussian inputs is defined in a similar manner as for the scalar case.

There have been attempts to study the local optimality of Gaussian distributions for the Han–Kobayashi rate region with perturbations using Hermite polynomials [12], as well as using temporally correlated coding schemes. While the former approach yielded interesting insights, so far the approach has not exhibited any rate pair that lay outside the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with Gaussian inputs.

There have been some instances in network information theory, including work by the authors, where multi-letter Gaussian schemes have been shown to match the single-letter scheme, such as [13]–[15]. This work is a natural extension of such results and this result subsumes other results and deals with the Han–Kobayashi region in its entirety.

B. The k-letter extension of the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with (vector) Gaussian inputs

From its definition, we see that the k-letter extension of the Han–Kobayashi achievable region with (vector) Gaussian inputs reduces to the set of rate pairs $(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ that satisfy

$$R_{1} \leq \mathbf{E}_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} \right)$$
(1a)

$$R_2 \le \mathbf{E}_Q \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{U_2}^Q + K_{V_2}^Q + a^2 K_{V_1}^Q \right|}{\left| I + a^2 K_{V_1}^Q \right|} \right)$$
(1b)

$$R_{1} + R_{2} \leq E_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|} \right)$$
(1c)

$$R_{1} + R_{2} \leq E_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} \right)$$
(1d)

$$R_{1} + R_{2} \leq E_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|} \right)$$
(1e)

$$2R_{1} + R_{2} \leq E_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|} \right)$$
(1f)

$$R_{1} + 2R_{2} \leq E_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right|} + \frac{1}{2k} \log \frac{\left| I + K_{V_{1}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|}{\left| I + b^{2} K_{V_{2}}^{Q} \right|} \right), \quad (1g)$$

for some Qand symmetric positive semimatrices $K_{U_1}^q, K_{V_1}^q, K_{U_2}^q, K_{V_2}^q$ that $E_Q \left(\operatorname{tr} \left(K_{U_1}^Q + K_{V_1}^Q \right) \right)$ $\mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ definite \in such \leq kP_1 and $E_Q\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(K_{U_2}^Q + K_{V_2}^Q\right)\right) \leq kP_2$. By a standard application of cardinality-bounding techniques, it suffices to consider $|Q| \leq 9$ (not needed in this note). Let \mathcal{R}_k^{GS} denote the above region.

The main result of this note is the following:

Theorem 2.
$$\mathcal{R}_k^{GS} = \mathcal{R}_1^{GS}$$
 for all $k \ge 1$.

We will prove this theorem in the next section.

II. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For a $k \times k$ Hermitian matrix A, let $\lambda_1(A) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k(A)$ denote its eigenvalues. The proof uses a couple of standard technical results that we state at the outset.

Theorem 3 (Fiedler [16]). Let A, B be $k \times k$ Hermitian matrices. Suppose $\lambda_1(A) + \lambda_1(B) \ge 0$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\lambda_i(A) + \lambda_i(B)\right) \le |A + B| \le \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\lambda_i(A) + \lambda_{k+1-i}(B)\right)$$

Lemma 1. Let A, B be $k \times k$ Hermitian matrices with $B \succeq 0$. Then $\lambda_i(A + B) \ge \lambda_i(A)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. The Courant-Fischer-Weyl min-max principle and $B \succeq 0$ imply that

$$\lambda_i(A+B) = \min_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k \\ \dim V = i}} \max_{\substack{x \in V \\ x \parallel = 1}} x^* (A+B)x$$
$$\geq \min_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k \\ \dim V = i}} \max_{\substack{x \in V \\ x \parallel x \parallel = 1}} x^* Ax$$
$$= \lambda_i(A).$$

Given any collection of symmetric positive semi-definite matrices $K_{U_1}^q, K_{V_1}^q, K_{U_2}^q, K_{V_2}^q \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, define

$$\begin{split} \hat{K}^{q}_{V_{1}} &:= \operatorname{diag}\left(\{\lambda_{i}(K^{q}_{V_{1}})\}\right), \\ \hat{K}^{q}_{U_{1}} &:= \operatorname{diag}\left(\{\lambda_{i}(K^{q}_{U_{1}} + K^{q}_{V_{1}}) - \lambda_{i}(K^{q}_{V_{1}})\}\right) \succeq 0, \\ \hat{K}^{q}_{V_{2}} &:= \operatorname{diag}\left(\{\lambda_{k+1-i}(K^{q}_{V_{2}})\}\right), \\ \hat{K}^{q}_{U_{2}} &:= \operatorname{diag}\left(\{\lambda_{k+1-i}(K^{q}_{U_{2}} + K^{q}_{V_{2}}) - \lambda_{k+1-i}(K^{q}_{V_{2}})\}\right) \succeq 0, \end{split}$$

where diag($\{a_i\}$) indicates a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries a_1, \dots, a_k . The positive semi-definiteness of $\hat{K}_{U_1}, \hat{K}_{U_2}$ follows from Lemma 1. Note that these are trace preserving operations, i.e. $\operatorname{tr}(\hat{K}_{U_1}^q + \hat{K}_{V_1}^q) = \operatorname{tr}(K_{U_1}^q + K_{V_1}^q)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\hat{K}_{U_2}^q + \hat{K}_{V_2}^q) = \operatorname{tr}(K_{U_2}^q + K_{V_2}^q)$.

Further note that

$$\left|I + a^2 K_{V_1}^q\right| = \left|I + a^2 \hat{K}_{V_1}^q\right| = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + a^2 \lambda_i(K_{V_1}^q)\right), \quad (2a)$$

$$\left|I + b^2 K_{V_2}^q\right| = \left|I + b^2 \hat{K}_{V_2}^q\right| = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + b^2 \lambda_i(K_{V_2}^q)\right).$$
(2b)

Corollary 1. For any $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$, let $(A_1, \hat{A}_1) = (K_{V_1}^q, \hat{K}_{V_1}^q)$ or $(K_{U_1}^q + K_{V_1}^q, \hat{K}_{U_1}^q + \hat{K}_{V_1}^q)$, and let $(A_2, \hat{A}_2) = (K_{V_2}^q, \hat{K}_{V_2}^q)$ or $(K_{U_2}^q + K_{V_2}^q, \hat{K}_{U_2}^q + \hat{K}_{V_2}^q)$. Then

$$|I + c_1 A_1 + c_2 A_2| \le |I + c_1 \hat{A}_1 + c_2 \hat{A}_2|$$

Proof.

$$|I + c_1 A_1 + c_2 A_2| \le \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + c_1 \lambda_i (A_1) + c_2 \lambda_{k+1-i} (A_2))$$
$$= \left| I + c_1 \hat{A}_1 + c_2 \hat{A}_2 \right|$$

where the inequality follows from Theorem 3.

Corollary 1 and Equation 2 imply that replacing $(K_{U_1}^q, K_{V_1}^q, K_{U_2}^q, K_{V_2}^q)$ by $(\hat{K}_{U_1}^q, \hat{K}_{V_1}^q, \hat{K}_{U_2}^q, \hat{K}_{V_2}^q)$ cannot decrease any of the right-hand-sides of (1). This shows that \mathcal{R}_k^{GS} can be attained by diagonal covariance matrices.

can be attained by diagonal covariance matrices. When the matrices $K_{U_2}^q, K_{V_2}^q, K_{U_1}^q, K_{V_1}^q$ are diagonal with entries $K_{U_2}^q(i), K_{V_1}^q(i), K_{U_1}^q(i), K_{V_1}^q(i), i = 1, \ldots, k$, observe that, for instance, we can express

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{E}_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \log \left| I + K_{U_{2}}^{Q} + K_{V_{2}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{Q} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{Q} \right| \right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \mathbf{P}(Q = q) \left(\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \left(1 + K_{U_{2}}^{q}(i) + K_{V_{2}}^{q}(i) \right) + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{q}(i) + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{q}(i) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q,i} \mathbf{P}(\tilde{Q} = (q,i)) \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + K_{U_{2}}^{q,i} + K_{V_{2}}^{q,i} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{q,i} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{q,i} \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + K_{U_{2}}^{\tilde{Q}} + K_{V_{2}}^{\tilde{Q}} + a^{2} K_{U_{1}}^{\tilde{Q}} + a^{2} K_{V_{1}}^{\tilde{Q}} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

In the above we defined a new time-sharing variable Qand set $P(\tilde{Q} = (q, i)) = \frac{1}{k} P(Q = q)$, and defined scalar variables $K_{U_1}^{q,i} = K_{U_1}^q(i)$ (and others similarly). Note that the last expression is an expectation over scalar variables and corresponds to the expression in \mathcal{R}_1^{GS} . All other terms in \mathcal{R}_k^{GS} also can be expressed similarly (with the consistent choice $P(\tilde{Q} = (q, i)) = \frac{1}{k} P(Q = q)$ and $K_{U_1}^{q,i} = K_{U_1}^q(i)$). Now the inclusion $\mathcal{R}_k^{GS} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1^{GS}$ is immediate, thus establishing Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLDEGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Max Costa for stimulating exchanges and in his contributions towards some preliminary results [15]. The authors also wish to thank Salman Beigi for his contributions to a similar result in [14], which laid the foundations for subsequent research. Chandra Nair wishes to acknowledge the following research grants: GRF 415713 and GRF 14231916 from UGC Hong Kong.

REFERENCES

- T. S. Han and K. Kobayashi, "A new achievable rate region for the interference channel," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 49–60, jan 1981.
- [2] C. Nair, L. Xia, and M. Yazdanpanah, "Sub-optimality of Han-Kobayashi achievable region for interference channels," in 2015 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), June 2015, pp. 2416–2420.
- [3] A. El Gamal and Y.-H. Kim, Network Information Theory. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [4] H. Sato, "The capacity of the gaussian interference channel under strong interference (corresp.)," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 786–788, Nov 1981.
- [5] M. H. M. Costa, "On the Gaussian interference channel," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 607–615, Sep 1985.
- [6] Y. Polyanskiy and Y. Wu, "Wasserstein continuity of entropy and outer bounds for interference channels," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1504.04419, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.04419
- [7] V. Annapureddy and V. Veeravalli, "Gaussian interference networks: Sum capacity in the low-interference regime and new outer bounds on the capacity region," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3032–3050, july 2009.
- [8] A. Motahari and A. Khandani, "Capacity bounds for the gaussian interference channel," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 620–643, feb. 2009.
- [9] X. Shang, G. Kramer, and B. Chen, "A new outer bound and the noisy-interference sum-rate capacity for gaussian interference channels," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 689–699, feb. 2009.
- [10] R. Etkin, D. Tse, and H. Wang, "Gaussian interference channel capacity to within one bit," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 5534–5562, dec. 2008.
- [11] H.-F. Chong, M. Motani, H. Garg, and H. El Gamal, "On the hankobayashi region for the interference channel," *Information Theory*, *IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 3188–3195, july 2008.
- [12] E. Abbe and L. Zheng, "A coordinate system for gaussian networks," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 721–733, Feb 2012.
- [13] R. S. Cheng and S. Verdu, "On limiting characterizations of memoryless multiuser capacity regions," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 609–612, Mar 1993.
- [14] S. Beigi, S. Liu, C. Nair, and M. Yazdanpanah, "Some results on the scalar Gaussian interference channel," in 2016 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), July 2016, pp. 2199–2203.
- [15] M. Costa, C. Nair, and D. Ng, "On the Gaussian Z-interference channel," Information Theory and Applications Workshop, 2017.
- [16] M. Fiedler, "Bounds for the determinant of the sum of Hermitian matrices," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 27–31, 1971. [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2038212

Chandra Nair is an Associate Professor with the Information Engineering department at The Chinese University of Hong Kong, where he also serves as the Programme Director of the undergraduate program on Mathematics and Information Engineering and as the Director of the Institute of Theoretical Computer Science and Communications. He obtained his B.Tech (EE) degree IIT Madras (India) where he was the Philips (India) and Siemens (India) award winner for the best academic performance; and his M.S. (EE) and Ph.D. (EE) degrees from Stanford, where he was a Stanford graduate fellow (00-04) and a Microsoft graduate fellow (04-05).

David Ng is currently a PhD student in Information Engineering at the Chinese University of Hong Kong under supervision of Prof. Chandra Nair. He obtained his Bachelor of Science in Mathematics (2015, first class honours) and Bachelor of Engineering in Information Engineering (2016, first class honours) both from The Chinese University of Hong Kong. He obtained The Charles Kao Top Performance Award for having the best academic record in the MAIE undergraduate program.